Case 2:13-cv-06917-RGK-JPR Document 19 Filed 11/08/13 Page 1 of 4 Page ID #:157 FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Robert G. Hubbard, JR. 1 NOV - 8 2013 10800 Lyndale Ave. S STE 324 Bloomington, MN 55420 2 612-998-2086 Grubster411@hotmail.com CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 DEPUTY 4 5 6 |Case No.: CV-13-06917-PLA- MMM (CWX) 7 8 POM WONDERFUL LLC, A ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS FIRST 9 DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR: FEDERAL TRADEMARK COMPANY, 10 INFRINGEMENT; VIOLATION OF THE LANHAM ACT 43(A); Plaintiff. 11 UNFAIR COMPETITION ÚNDER CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND VS. 12 PROFESSIONS CODE 17200 ET ROBERT G. HUBBARD D/B/A PUR|SEQ.; CALIFORNIA COMMON 13 BEVERAGES, PORTLAND LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION BOTTLING COMPANY, AN 14 OREGON COMPANY, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE, 15 Defendant 16 DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY 17 18 19 COMES NOW ROBERT G. HUBBARD, DBA PUR BEVERAGES, DEFENDANT IN THE 20 ABOVE ENTITLED ACTION, ANSWERS THE PLAINTIFFS AMENDED COMPLAINT AS FOLLOWS: 21 ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 1, THE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ARE WITHOUT 22 SUFFICIENT KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION TO FORM A BELIEF AS TO THE TRUTH OF THE 23 ALLEGATIONS THEREIN AND, THEREFORE, DENY THE SAME. 24 ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 2, THE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ADMIT THE 25 ALLEGATIONS. 26 ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 3, THE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ARE WITHOUT 27 SUFFICIENT KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION TO FORM A BELIEF AS TO THE TRUTH OF THE 28 ALLEGATIONS THEREIN AND, THEREFORE, DENY THE SAME. 29 ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 4, THE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ARE WITHOUT 30 SUFFICIENT KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION TO FORM A BELIEF AS TO THE TRUTH OF THE 31 ALLEGATIONS THEREIN AND, THEREFORE, DENY THE SAME. 32 ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR: FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT; VIOLATION OF THE LANHAM ACT 43(A); UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER

CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE 17200 ÉT SEQ.; CALIFORNIA COMMON LAW

UNFAIR COMPETITIONDEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY - 1

1	ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 5 AND 6, ANSWERING DEFENDANTS DENY THE
2	ALLEGATIONS.
3	ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 7, ANSWERING DEFENDANTS DENY THAT THIS COURT
4	HAS SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION.
5	ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 8, ANSWERING DEFENDANTS DENY THAT THE VENUE
6	IS PROPER IN THE DISTRICT, AND DENY THE ALLEGATIONS SET FORTH IN PARAGRAPH 8.
7	ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 9 THROUGH 12, THE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ARE
8	WITHOUT SUFFICIENT KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION TO FORM A BELIEF AS TO THE TRUTH OF
9	THE ALLEGATIONS THEREIN AND, THEREFORE, DENY THE SAME.
10	ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 13, ANSWERING DEFENDANTS DENY THE
11	ALLEGATIONS.
12	ANSWERING PARRAGRAPHS 14 THROUGH 16, ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ARE
13	WITHOUT SUFFICIENT KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION TO FORM A BELIEF AS TO THE TRUTH OF
14	THE ALLEGATIONS THEREIN AND, THEREFORE, DENY THE SAME.
15	ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 17 AND 18, THE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS DENY THE
16	ALLEGATIONS.
17	ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 19 THROUGH 28, ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ARE
18	WITHOUT SUFFICIENT KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION TO FORM A BELIEF AS TO THE TRUTH OF
19	THE ALLEGATIONS THEREIN AND, THEREFORE, DENY THE SAME.
20	ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 29 THROUGH 31, THE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS DENY
21	THE ALLEGATIONS SET FORTH.
22	ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 32, THE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ADMIT THE
23	ALLEGATIONS.
24	ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 33 THROUGH 34, THE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS DENY
25	THE ALLEGATIONS.
26	ANSWERING PARAGRAPH 35, THE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ARE WITHOUT
27	SUFFICIENT KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION TO FORM A BELIEF AS TO THE TRUTH OF THE
28	ALLEGATIONS THEREIN AND, THEREFORE, DENY THE SAME.
29	ANSWERING PARAGRAPH 36 THROUGH 78, THE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS DENY
30	THE ALLEGATIONS.
31	
32	ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR : FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT; VIOLATION OF THE LANHAM ACT 43(A); UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE 17200 ET SEQ.; CALIFORNIA COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITIONDEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY - 2

30

31

32

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, the answering Defendants pray for relief as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff take nothing by way of his Complaint and that it be dismissed with prejudice;
 - 2. For costs and attorney fees incurred in the defense of this matter; and
 - 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Dated this 5TH of NOVEMBER, 2013.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendants demand a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

DATED this 5TH day of November, 2013.

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that, on this 5TH day of November, 2013, a true and correct copy of the ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR: FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT; VIOLATION OF THE LANHAM ACT 43(A); UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE 17200 ET SEQ.; CALIFORNIA COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION and Demand for Jury Trial was conventionally served on the following by first class U.S. mail at the address below:

> Roll Law Group pc 11444 West Olympic Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90064-1557 Tel. 310-966-8400 Fax. 310-966-8810

Attorneys for Pom Wonderful, LLC

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR: FEDERAL TRADEMAR INFRINGEMENT; VIOLATION OF THE LANHAM ACT 43(A); UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER CALIFORNIA BÚSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE 17200 ÉT SEQ.; CALIFORNIA COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITIONDEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY - 3

CLETK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

| ICIV - 8 2013
| CONTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAPPI VALLY, OR 97086

In the States District Course

312 No. Spring St. Los Angels, CA

1-738 SEMIN OF